

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,535	MCADAMS, ERIC THOMAS	
	Examiner Renee Danega	Art Unit 3736	

All Participants:(1) Renee Danega.**Status of Application:** Advisory

(3) _____.

(2) Brian Ho.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

46-48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

RAD

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 46-48 were dependant on cancelled claim 41. It was determined that claim 46 depended from 34 and 47-48 should depend from claim 31. Also, the word "also" should be struck from claim 46 to make more sense. Permission was granted for an examiner's amendment..